

**Transfinite Numbers**  
NY Math Circle Presentation  
by Martin Rudolph

Is infinity the largest number? Consider an argument between two kids in the 1950s:

1: I dare you.

2: I double dare you.

1: I triple dare you.

2: I dare you times a million (said with a smirk)

1: I dare you times infinity (with finality)

2: I dare you times infinity *plus one!!!* (uh oh)

(Of course, in the film *A Christmas Story*, they all lose to a “double dog dare.”)

DEFINITIONS & NOTATION

1. A **set** is any collection of distinguishable objects.

2. Set  $A$  is a **subset** of set  $B$ ,  $A \subseteq B$ , iff  $x \in A \Rightarrow x \in B$  (every element of  $A$  is an element of  $B$ ).

3. The **cardinal number of finite set**  $A$ ,  $\#A$ , is the number of elements in set  $A$ .

e.g. If  $A = \{-3, 0, 2, 7\}$ , then  $\#A = 4$ .

4. A **1-1 correspondence between two sets** is a pairing of their elements such that *each* element of each set is paired with *exactly* one element of the other set.

e.g. If  $A = \{-3, 0, 2, 7\}$  and  $B = \{a, b, c, d\}$ , then an example of a 1-1 correspondence is  $-3 \leftrightarrow a$ ,  $0 \leftrightarrow d$ ,  $2 \leftrightarrow b$ , and  $7 \leftrightarrow c$ . How many possible 1-1 correspondences are there?

5. Two sets,  $A$  and  $B$ , are **equivalent**,  $A \sim B$ , iff there exists a 1-1 correspondence between them. In other words, they have an equal number of elements (same size). In the above example,  $A \sim B$ . Notes: Equivalence requires the existence of *at least one* 1-1 correspondence. If we added one element to set  $B$ , then such a 1-1 correspondence would not exist and they would not be equivalent.

6.  $N$  = set of natural numbers;  $Z$  = set of integers;  $W$  = set of non-negative integers;

$E$  = set of even natural numbers;  $Q$  = set of rationals;  $Q^+$  = set of positive rationals;

$R$  = set of reals;  $R^{(0,1)} = \{x \in R \mid 0 < x < 1\}$ , open unit interval

7.  $\#N = \aleph_0$  (“aleph-null”)

8. An infinite set is **countable** or **(d)enumerable** iff it is equivalent to  $N$ . Note: It can be shown that a countable set is “listable” (*i.e.*, it is possible to list all elements of the set such that each element appears in the list *exactly once*).

9. Equivalence of sets is a transitive relation. (Also has identity and symmetric properties; therefore, applying the Principal of Maximum Confusion, equivalence of sets is an equivalence relation.)

## QUESTIONS FOR TODAY

(Today's discussion is dedicated to the great Dane, Georg Cantor, who was pretty great in math, but a dog in spelling...he always misspelled "George.")

1. A small discussion on small large numbers. (Is it possible for a single human mind to be "larger" than the observable universe? I say "yes, in a way.")

2. Are all infinite sets equivalent?

$$W \sim N? \quad E \sim N? \quad Z \sim N? \quad Q^+ \sim N? \quad R^{(0,1)} \sim N?$$

In each case, why would we think "yes?" Why would we think "no?"

3.  $\bar{S}$  = the set of all subsets of set  $S$ , the "power set of set  $S$ ." So what?

4. What is the Continuum Hypothesis?

## QUESTIONS FOR TOMORROW

1. Prove: For any finite set  $A$  which has  $n$  ( $n \in N$ ) elements, has  $\#\bar{A} = 2^n$ . Try Math Induction. (Then again, using math induction, one can "prove" that all humans are male! Can we trust math induction?) What if set  $A$  is infinite?

2. Prove: The set of reals is equivalent to the set of positive reals,  $R \sim R^+$ . (Curiously, it is easier to find the required 1-1 correspondence, of which there are *many*, if one imposes certain qualities on the 1-1 correspondence, thereby *reducing* the number of those that are acceptable!)

3. Prove: The open unit interval is equivalent to the open unit square.

$$R^{(0,1)} \sim \{(x, y) \mid x \in R, y \in R, 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1\}$$

## READING SAMPLER

1. Birkhoff, Garrett and Saunders MacLane, *A Survey of Modern Algebra*, The Macmillan Co., 1953, 356–369. Theorems and proofs.

2. Courant, Richard and Herbert Robbins, *What is Mathematics?*, Oxford University Press, 1941, 77–88. A classic.

3. Kline, Morris: *Mathematical Thought from Ancient to Modern Times*, Oxford University Press, 1972, 992–1004. Contains some historical anecdotes.