# **Patterns in Decimal Expansions**

Brian Conrad

September 15, 2016

Decimals can be finite or infinite (infinitely many nonzero digits):

```
\frac{3}{8} = .375 = .37500000 \dots, \quad \pi = 3.141592653589793238462 \dots
```

Decimals can be finite or infinite (infinitely many nonzero digits):

$$\frac{3}{8} = .375 = .37500000 \dots, \quad \pi = 3.141592653589793238462 \dots$$

Infinite decimals can be periodic or non-periodic:

$$\frac{1}{7} = .14285714285714285\dots, \qquad \frac{2}{7} = .28571428571428571428571\dots, \\ \sqrt{2} = 1.414213562373095\dots, \qquad \pi = 3.1415926535897932\dots.$$

Decimals can be finite or infinite (infinitely many nonzero digits):

$$\frac{3}{8} = .375 = .37500000 \dots, \quad \pi = 3.141592653589793238462 \dots$$

Infinite decimals can be periodic or non-periodic:

$$\frac{1}{7} = .14285714285714285\dots, \qquad \frac{2}{7} = .28571428571428571428571\dots, \\ \sqrt{2} = 1.414213562373095\dots, \qquad \pi = 3.1415926535897932\dots.$$

Periodic decimals can be purely periodic or eventually periodic:

$$\frac{1}{7} = .142857142857\ldots = .\overline{142857}, \quad \frac{53}{82} = .6\overline{46341}.$$

We will focus on periodic decimals and their patterns.

# Theorem (Lambert, 1753)

Consider a real number x.

It is a finite decimal  $\Leftrightarrow x$  is a fraction with denominator  $2^i 5^j$ .

The implication " $\Rightarrow$ " is elementary since  $10^m = 2^m 5^m$ :

$$.38 = \frac{38}{100} = \frac{2 \cdot 19}{2 \cdot 50} = \frac{19}{50} = \frac{19}{2 \cdot 5^2} \quad (\text{reduced form})$$

# Theorem (Lambert, 1753)

Consider a real number x.

It is a finite decimal  $\Leftrightarrow x$  is a fraction with denominator  $2^i 5^j$ .

The implication " $\Rightarrow$ " is elementary since  $10^m = 2^m 5^m$ :

$$.38 = \frac{38}{100} = \frac{2 \cdot 19}{2 \cdot 50} = \frac{19}{50} = \frac{19}{2 \cdot 5^2} \quad (\text{reduced form})$$

The other implication " $\Leftarrow$ " is more interesting:

$$\frac{51}{80} = \frac{51}{2^4 \cdot 5} = \frac{51 \cdot 5^3}{2^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 5^3} = \frac{6375}{10^4} = .6375$$

$$x = .\overline{142857} \text{ (6 digits)}$$

= .142857142857...

$$x = .\overline{142857} \text{ (6 digits)}$$

= .142857142857...

$$10^6 x = 142857.142857142857... = 142857 + x$$

$$x = .\overline{142857}$$
 (6 digits)

= .142857142857...

$$10^6 x = 142857.142857142857 \ldots = 142857 + x$$

so  $(10^6 - 1)x = 142857$ 

$$x = .\overline{142857}$$
 (6 digits)

= .142857142857...

$$10^{6}x = 142857.142857142857\ldots = 142857 + x$$

so 
$$(10^6 - 1)x = 142857$$

999999x = 142857

$$x = .\overline{142857}$$
 (6 digits)

= .142857142857...

$$10^{6}x = 142857.142857142857... = 142857 + x$$

so 
$$(10^6 - 1)x = 142857$$

$$999999x = 142857$$

$$x = \frac{142007}{000000}$$

$$x = .\overline{142857}$$
 (6 digits)

= .142857142857...

$$10^{6}x = 142857.142857142857... = 142857 + x$$

so 
$$(10^6 - 1)x = 142857$$

9

$$99999x = 142857$$
$$x = \frac{142857}{999999}$$

But  $999999 = 999 \cdot 1001 = (9 \cdot 111) \cdot (11 \cdot 91) = 3^3 \cdot 37 \cdot (11 \cdot 7 \cdot 13)$ , and  $3^3 \cdot 37 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$  is a factor of 142857, yielding that x = 1/7.

$$x = .\overline{142857}$$
 (6 digits)

= .142857142857...

$$10^{6}x = 142857.142857142857\ldots = 142857 + x$$

so 
$$(10^6 - 1)x = 142857$$

$$999999x = 142857$$
$$x = \frac{142857}{999999}$$

But  $999999 = 999 \cdot 1001 = (9 \cdot 111) \cdot (11 \cdot 91) = 3^3 \cdot 37 \cdot (11 \cdot 7 \cdot 13)$ , and  $3^3 \cdot 37 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$  is a factor of 142857, yielding that x = 1/7.

In general, 
$$\overline{c_1 c_2 \dots c_d} = \frac{c_1 c_2 \dots c_d}{10^d - 1} = \frac{c_1 c_2 \dots c_d}{\underbrace{99 \dots 9}_{d \text{ digits}}}$$
, so in reduced

form the denominator is *not* divisible by 2 or 5 (as  $10^d - 1$  is not).

$$x = .\overline{142857}$$
 (6 digits)

= .142857142857...

$$10^{6}x = 142857.142857142857... = 142857 + x$$

so 
$$(10^6 - 1)x = 142857$$

$$999999x = 142857$$
$$x = \frac{142857}{999999}$$

But  $999999 = 999 \cdot 1001 = (9 \cdot 111) \cdot (11 \cdot 91) = 3^3 \cdot 37 \cdot (11 \cdot 7 \cdot 13)$ , and  $3^3 \cdot 37 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$  is a factor of 142857, yielding that x = 1/7.

In general, 
$$\overline{c_1 c_2 \dots c_d} = \frac{c_1 c_2 \dots c_d}{10^d - 1} = \frac{c_1 c_2 \dots c_d}{\underbrace{99 \dots 9}_{d \text{ digits}}}$$
, so in reduced

form the denominator is *not* divisible by 2 or 5 (as  $10^d - 1$  is not). Let's work on Exercise 1.

Which reduced a/n with 0 < a < n have purely repeating decimal; i.e.,  $a/n = A/(10^d - 1)$  (says some  $nm = 10^d - 1$ , d > 0)?

**Necessary condition**: *n* not divisible by 2 or 5. Is it sufficient?

Which reduced a/n with 0 < a < n have purely repeating decimal; i.e.,  $a/n = A/(10^d - 1)$  (says some  $nm = 10^d - 1$ , d > 0)?

**Necessary condition**: n not divisible by 2 or 5. Is it sufficient? Here are examples related to Exer. 1(i):

n = 7:

Which reduced a/n with 0 < a < n have purely repeating decimal; i.e.,  $a/n = A/(10^d - 1)$  (says some  $nm = 10^d - 1$ , d > 0)?

**Necessary condition**: n not divisible by 2 or 5. Is it sufficient? Here are examples related to Exer. 1(i):

$$n = 7: \quad 7 \cdot 142857 = 9999999 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{7} = \frac{142857}{999999} = .\overline{142857}$$

Which reduced a/n with 0 < a < n have purely repeating decimal; i.e.,  $a/n = A/(10^d - 1)$  (says some  $nm = 10^d - 1$ , d > 0)?

**Necessary condition**: n not divisible by 2 or 5. Is it sufficient? Here are examples related to Exer. 1(i):

$$n = 7$$
:  $7 \cdot 142857 = 999999 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{7} = \frac{142857}{999999} = .\overline{142857}$ 

n = 27 :

Which reduced a/n with 0 < a < n have purely repeating decimal; i.e.,  $a/n = A/(10^d - 1)$  (says some  $nm = 10^d - 1$ , d > 0)?

**Necessary condition**: n not divisible by 2 or 5. Is it sufficient? Here are examples related to Exer. 1(i):

$$n = 7: \quad 7 \cdot 142857 = 999999 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{7} = \frac{142857}{999999} = .\overline{142857}$$
$$n = 27: \quad 27 \cdot 37 = 999 \Rightarrow \frac{14}{27} = \frac{14 \cdot 37}{27 \cdot 37} = \frac{518}{999} = .\overline{518}$$

Which reduced a/n with 0 < a < n have purely repeating decimal; i.e.,  $a/n = A/(10^d - 1)$  (says some  $nm = 10^d - 1$ , d > 0)?

**Necessary condition**: n not divisible by 2 or 5. Is it sufficient? Here are examples related to Exer. 1(i):

$$n = 7: \quad 7 \cdot 142857 = 999999 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{7} = \frac{142857}{999999} = .\overline{142857}$$
$$n = 27: \quad 27 \cdot 37 = 999 \Rightarrow \frac{14}{27} = \frac{14 \cdot 37}{27 \cdot 37} = \frac{518}{999} = .\overline{518}$$

n = 21 :

Which reduced a/n with 0 < a < n have purely repeating decimal; i.e.,  $a/n = A/(10^d - 1)$  (says some  $nm = 10^d - 1$ , d > 0)?

**Necessary condition**: n not divisible by 2 or 5. Is it sufficient? Here are examples related to Exer. 1(i):

$$n = 7: \quad 7 \cdot 142857 = 9999999 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{7} = \frac{142857}{999999} = .\overline{142857}$$

$$n = 27: \quad 27 \cdot 37 = 999 \Rightarrow \frac{14}{27} = \frac{14 \cdot 37}{27 \cdot 37} = \frac{518}{999} = .\overline{518}$$

$$n = 21: \quad 21 \cdot 47619 = 9999999 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{21} = \frac{47619}{21 \cdot 47919} = .\overline{047619}$$

Which reduced a/n with 0 < a < n have purely repeating decimal; i.e.,  $a/n = A/(10^d - 1)$  (says some  $nm = 10^d - 1$ , d > 0)?

**Necessary condition**: n not divisible by 2 or 5. Is it sufficient? Here are examples related to Exer. 1(i):

$$n = 7: \quad 7 \cdot 142857 = 999999 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{7} = \frac{142857}{999999} = .\overline{142857}$$

$$n = 27: \quad 27 \cdot 37 = 999 \Rightarrow \frac{14}{27} = \frac{14 \cdot 37}{27 \cdot 37} = \frac{518}{999} = .\overline{518}$$

$$n = 21: \quad 21 \cdot 47619 = 9999999 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{21} = \frac{47619}{21 \cdot 47919} = .\overline{047619}$$
If  $nm = 10^d - 1$  and  $0 < a < n$ ,  $\frac{a}{n} = \frac{am}{nm} = \frac{am}{10^d - 1} = \underbrace{\frac{am}{99 \cdot ...9}}_{d \text{ digits}}$ 

purely periodic since am < nm = 9...9.

Which reduced a/n with 0 < a < n have purely repeating decimal; i.e.,  $a/n = A/(10^d - 1)$  (says some  $nm = 10^d - 1$ , d > 0)?

**Necessary condition**: n not divisible by 2 or 5. Is it sufficient? Here are examples related to Exer. 1(i):

$$n = 7: \quad 7 \cdot 142857 = 999999 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{7} = \frac{142857}{999999} = .\overline{142857}$$

$$n = 27: \quad 27 \cdot 37 = 999 \Rightarrow \frac{14}{27} = \frac{14 \cdot 37}{27 \cdot 37} = \frac{518}{999} = .\overline{518}$$

$$n = 21: \quad 21 \cdot 47619 = 9999999 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{21} = \frac{47619}{21 \cdot 47919} = .\overline{047619}$$
If  $nm = 10^d - 1$  and  $0 < a < n$ ,  $\frac{a}{n} = \frac{am}{nm} = \frac{am}{10^d - 1} = \frac{am}{99 \dots 9}$ ,

purely periodic since am < nm = 9...9.

#### Theorem

Every n not div. by 2 or 5 has a multiple of form  $10^d - 1$  (d > 0).

Any n not div. by 2 or 5 has a multiple of form  $10^d - 1$  (d > 0).

How do we show this is *always* true?

Any n not div. by 2 or 5 has a multiple of form  $10^d - 1$  (d > 0).

How do we show this is *always* true? Idea: Look at remainders when 1, 10,  $10^2$ ,  $10^3$ ,... are divided by *n*.

Any n not div. by 2 or 5 has a multiple of form  $10^d - 1$  (d > 0).

How do we show this is *always* true? Idea: Look at remainders when 1, 10,  $10^2$ ,  $10^3$ ,... are divided by *n*.

## Example

Try n = 27. When  $10^k$  is divided by 27, let  $r_k$  be the remainder. For instance,  $10^2 = 100 = 27 \cdot 3 + 19$ , so  $r_2 = 19$ .

Any n not div. by 2 or 5 has a multiple of form  $10^d - 1$  (d > 0).

How do we show this is *always* true? Idea: Look at remainders when 1, 10,  $10^2$ ,  $10^3$ ,... are divided by *n*.

#### Example

Try n = 27. When  $10^k$  is divided by 27, let  $r_k$  be the remainder. For instance,  $10^2 = 100 = 27 \cdot 3 + 19$ , so  $r_2 = 19$ .

Look for  $10^k$ 's where the  $r_k$ 's are the same. Then subtract!

Any n not div. by 2 or 5 has a multiple of form  $10^d - 1$  (d > 0).

How do we show this is *always* true? Idea: Look at remainders when 1, 10,  $10^2$ ,  $10^3$ ,... are divided by *n*.

#### Example

Try n = 27. When  $10^k$  is divided by 27, let  $r_k$  be the remainder. For instance,  $10^2 = 100 = 27 \cdot 3 + 19$ , so  $r_2 = 19$ .

Look for  $10^k$ 's where the  $r_k$ 's are the same. Then subtract!  $999 = 10^3 - 10^0 = (27 \cdot 37 + 1) - (27 \cdot 0 + 1) = \mathbf{27} \cdot 37.$  $9990 = 10^4 - 10^1 = (27 \cdot 370 + 10) - (27 \cdot 0 + 10) = \mathbf{27} \cdot 370.$ 

Any n not div. by 2 or 5 has a multiple  $10^d - 1$  with  $1 \le d \le n - 1$ .

We will use "repeated remainders" to prove this result.

Any n not div. by 2 or 5 has a multiple  $10^d - 1$  with  $1 \le d \le n - 1$ .

We will use "repeated remainders" to prove this result.

#### Proof.

Only n - 1 possible remainders (all non-zero!) when dividing by n, so can find *distinct*  $10^k$  and  $10^\ell$  (say  $k > \ell$ ) with *same* remainder:

$$10^k = nq + r, \ 10^\ell = nq' + r \ \Rightarrow \ 10^k - 10^\ell = n(q - q')$$

Any n not div. by 2 or 5 has a multiple  $10^d - 1$  with  $1 \le d \le n - 1$ .

We will use "repeated remainders" to prove this result.

#### Proof.

Only n - 1 possible remainders (all non-zero!) when dividing by n, so can find *distinct*  $10^k$  and  $10^\ell$  (say  $k > \ell$ ) with *same* remainder:

$$egin{aligned} 10^k &= nq + r, \ 10^\ell &= nq' + r \ \Rightarrow \ 10^k - 10^\ell &= n(q - q') \ 10^\ell (10^{k-\ell} - 1) &= n(q - q') \ \Rightarrow \ n \ ext{is factor of } 10^\ell (10^{k-\ell} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Any n not div. by 2 or 5 has a multiple  $10^d - 1$  with  $1 \le d \le n - 1$ .

We will use "repeated remainders" to prove this result.

#### Proof.

Only n - 1 possible remainders (all non-zero!) when dividing by n, so can find *distinct*  $10^k$  and  $10^\ell$  (say  $k > \ell$ ) with *same* remainder:

 $10^{k} = nq + r, \ 10^{\ell} = nq' + r \implies 10^{k} - 10^{\ell} = n(q - q')$   $10^{\ell}(10^{k-\ell} - 1) = n(q - q') \implies n \text{ is factor of } 10^{\ell}(10^{k-\ell} - 1).$ So *n* not divisible by 2 or 5  $\implies n \text{ is factor of } 10^{k-\ell} - 1.$ 

Any n not div. by 2 or 5 has a multiple  $10^d - 1$  with  $1 \le d \le n - 1$ .

We will use "repeated remainders" to prove this result.

#### Proof.

Only n - 1 possible remainders (all non-zero!) when dividing by n, so can find *distinct*  $10^k$  and  $10^\ell$  (say  $k > \ell$ ) with *same* remainder:

 $10^{k} = nq + r, \ 10^{\ell} = nq' + r \implies 10^{k} - 10^{\ell} = n(q - q')$   $10^{\ell}(10^{k-\ell} - 1) = n(q - q') \implies n \text{ is factor of } 10^{\ell}(10^{k-\ell} - 1).$ So n not divisible by 2 or 5  $\implies n \text{ is factor of } 10^{k-\ell} - 1.$ Can pick k and  $\ell$  in  $1, \ldots, n \implies 1 \le k - \ell \le n - 1.$ 

Any n not div. by 2 or 5 has a multiple  $10^d - 1$  with  $1 \le d \le n - 1$ .

We will use "repeated remainders" to prove this result.

#### Proof.

Only n - 1 possible remainders (all non-zero!) when dividing by n, so can find *distinct*  $10^k$  and  $10^\ell$  (say  $k > \ell$ ) with *same* remainder:

 $10^{k} = nq + r, \ 10^{\ell} = nq' + r \implies 10^{k} - 10^{\ell} = n(q - q')$   $10^{\ell}(10^{k-\ell} - 1) = n(q - q') \implies n \text{ is factor of } 10^{\ell}(10^{k-\ell} - 1).$ So n not divisible by 2 or 5  $\implies n \text{ is factor of } 10^{k-\ell} - 1.$ Can pick k and  $\ell$  in  $1, \ldots, n \implies 1 \le k - \ell \le n - 1.$ 

Let's now apply this Theorem with examples in Exercise 2.

# Fractions are Periodic Decimals: Eventual Periodicity

Fractions with a denominator that is  $2^{i}5^{j}$  (i > 0 or j > 0) times something > 1 without factors of 2 or 5 have *eventually* periodic (but not purely periodic) decimals:

## Fractions are Periodic Decimals: Eventual Periodicity

Fractions with a denominator that is  $2^{i}5^{j}$  (i > 0 or j > 0) times something > 1 without factors of 2 or 5 have *eventually* periodic (but not purely periodic) decimals:

$$\frac{46}{105} = \frac{46}{5 \cdot 21} = \frac{2 \cdot 46}{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 21} = \frac{1}{10} \frac{92}{21} = \frac{1}{10} \left( 4 + \frac{8}{21} \right) = .4 + ?.$$

Here we split 92/21 into fractional and integer parts (so no "carrying" below, since 0 < 8/21 < 1; just shift a decimal point). What is 8/21 as a decimal?
Fractions with a denominator that is  $2^{i}5^{j}$  (i > 0 or j > 0) times something > 1 without factors of 2 or 5 have *eventually* periodic (but not purely periodic) decimals:

$$\frac{46}{105} = \frac{46}{5 \cdot 21} = \frac{2 \cdot 46}{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 21} = \frac{1}{10} \frac{92}{21} = \frac{1}{10} \left( 4 + \frac{8}{21} \right) = .4 + ?.$$

Here we split 92/21 into fractional and integer parts (so no "carrying" below, since 0 < 8/21 < 1; just shift a decimal point). What is 8/21 as a decimal? Using Exercise 1(i):

$$21 \cdot 47619 = 9999999 \Rightarrow \frac{8}{21} = \frac{8 \cdot 47619}{999999} = \frac{380952}{999999} = .\overline{380952},$$

Fractions with a denominator that is  $2^{i}5^{j}$  (i > 0 or j > 0) times something > 1 without factors of 2 or 5 have *eventually* periodic (but not purely periodic) decimals:

$$\frac{46}{105} = \frac{46}{5 \cdot 21} = \frac{2 \cdot 46}{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 21} = \frac{1}{10} \frac{92}{21} = \frac{1}{10} \left( 4 + \frac{8}{21} \right) = .4 + ?.$$

Here we split 92/21 into fractional and integer parts (so no "carrying" below, since 0 < 8/21 < 1; just shift a decimal point). What is 8/21 as a decimal? Using Exercise 1(i):

$$21 \cdot 47619 = 999999 \Rightarrow \frac{8}{21} = \frac{8 \cdot 47619}{999999} = \frac{380952}{999999} = .\overline{380952},$$

so (without carrying!) we recover part of Exercise 1(ii):

$$\frac{46}{105} = .4 + \frac{1}{10}\frac{8}{21} = .4 + \frac{1}{10}(.\overline{380952}) = .4 + .0\overline{380952} = .4\overline{380952}.$$

Fractions with a denominator that is  $2^{i}5^{j}$  (i > 0 or j > 0) times something > 1 without factors of 2 or 5 have *eventually* periodic (but not purely periodic) decimals:

$$\frac{3}{44} = \frac{3}{2^2 \cdot 11} = \frac{5^2 \cdot 3}{5^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 11} = \frac{1}{100} \frac{75}{11} = \frac{1}{100} \left( 6 + \frac{9}{11} \right) = .06 + ?.$$

(split  $\frac{75}{11}$  into integer and fractional parts) **Repeat the method.** 

Fractions with a denominator that is  $2^{i}5^{j}$  (i > 0 or j > 0) times something > 1 without factors of 2 or 5 have *eventually* periodic (but not purely periodic) decimals:

$$\frac{3}{44} = \frac{3}{2^2 \cdot 11} = \frac{5^2 \cdot 3}{5^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 11} = \frac{1}{100} \frac{75}{11} = \frac{1}{100} \left( 6 + \frac{9}{11} \right) = .06 + ?.$$
(split  $\frac{75}{11}$  into integer and fractional parts) **Repeat the method**.

$$11 \cdot 9 = 99 \Rightarrow \frac{9}{11} = \frac{9 \cdot 9}{99} = \frac{81}{99} = .\overline{81},$$

SO

Fractions with a denominator that is  $2^{i}5^{j}$  (i > 0 or j > 0) times something > 1 without factors of 2 or 5 have *eventually* periodic (but not purely periodic) decimals:

$$\frac{3}{44} = \frac{3}{2^2 \cdot 11} = \frac{5^2 \cdot 3}{5^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 11} = \frac{1}{100} \frac{75}{11} = \frac{1}{100} \left( 6 + \frac{9}{11} \right) = .06 + ?.$$

(split  $\frac{75}{11}$  into integer and fractional parts) **Repeat the method.** 

$$11 \cdot 9 = 99 \Rightarrow \frac{9}{11} = \frac{9 \cdot 9}{99} = \frac{81}{99} = .\overline{81},$$

so (again no carrying!) we recover the other part of Exercise 1(ii):

$$\frac{3}{44} = .06 + \frac{1}{100} \frac{9}{11} = .06 + \frac{1}{100} .\overline{81} = .06 + .00\overline{81} = .06\overline{81}.$$

Fractions with a denominator that is  $2^i 5^j$  (i > 0 or j > 0) times something > 1 without factors of 2 or 5 have *eventually* periodic (but not purely periodic) decimals:

$$\frac{3}{44} = \frac{3}{2^2 \cdot 11} = \frac{5^2 \cdot 3}{5^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 11} = \frac{1}{100} \frac{75}{11} = \frac{1}{100} \left( 6 + \frac{9}{11} \right) = .06 + ?.$$

(split  $\frac{75}{11}$  into integer and fractional parts) **Repeat the method.** 

$$11 \cdot 9 = 99 \Rightarrow \frac{9}{11} = \frac{9 \cdot 9}{99} = \frac{81}{99} = .\overline{81},$$

so (again no carrying!) we recover the other part of Exercise 1(ii):

$$\frac{3}{44} = .06 + \frac{1}{100} \frac{9}{11} = .06 + \frac{1}{100} .\overline{81} = .06 + .00\overline{81} = .06\overline{81}.$$

**Method**: absorb 2's and 5's in denominator into a power of 10; this creates eventually (but not purely) periodic decimals.

We can run "eventually periodic" examples in reverse, going from the decimal to a fraction (that we can try to put in reduced form).

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x & = & .06\overline{81} \\ & = & .06818181 \dots \end{array}$$

- $x = .06\overline{81}$ 
  - = .06818181...
- 10x = .6818181...

- $x = .06\overline{81}$ 
  - $= \ .06818181\ldots$
- 10x = .6818181...
- $10^2 x = 6.818181...$

- $x = .06\overline{81}$ 
  - $= \ .06818181\ldots$
- 10x = .6818181...
- $10^2 x = 6.818181...$
- $10^4 x = 681.818181...$

	X	=	.0681
		=	.06818181
	10 <i>x</i>	=	.6818181
	$10^{2}x$	=	6.818181
	10 <sup>4</sup> x	=	681. <mark>818181</mark>
Subtract:	$(10^4 - 10^2)x$	=	681 - 6
	9900 <i>x</i>	=	675

	X	=	.0681
		=	.06818181
	10 <i>x</i>	=	.6818181
	$10^{2}x$	=	6.818181
	10 <sup>4</sup> x	=	681. <mark>818181</mark>
Subtract:	$(10^4 - 10^2)x$	=	681 - 6
	9900 <i>x</i>	=	675
	X	=	675 9900

We can run "eventually periodic" examples in reverse, going from the decimal to a fraction (that we can try to put in reduced form). **Let's try with the preceding example**:

	X	=	.0681
		=	.06818181
	10 <i>x</i>	=	.6818181
	$10^{2}x$	=	6.818181
	10 <sup>4</sup> x	=	681. <mark>818181</mark>
Subtract:	$(10^4 - 10^2)x$	=	681 - 6
	9900 <i>x</i>	=	675
	X	=	$\frac{675}{9900}$

Since  $9900 = 99 \cdot 100 = (3^2 \cdot 11) \cdot (2^2 \cdot 5^2)$  and one finds that  $3^2 \cdot 5^2$  is a factor of 675, this yields x = 3/44 in reduced form.

# Summary So Far

We have shown that eventually periodic decimals (allowing for a 0-string) are **exactly** the decimal expansions of fractions, with the possibilities falling into three cases:

Decimal Type	Denominator of the Fraction
Finite	Only 2's and 5's
Purely Periodic (not finite)	No 2's or 5's
Eventually (not purely) Periodic	2's or 5's (or both) times more

$$.38 = \frac{19}{2 \cdot 5^2}$$
$$.\overline{518} = \frac{14}{27}$$
$$4\overline{380952} = \frac{46}{5 \cdot 21}$$

.

## Summary So Far

We have shown that eventually periodic decimals (allowing for a 0-string) are **exactly** the decimal expansions of fractions, with the possibilities falling into three cases:

Decimal Type	Denominator of the Fraction
Finite	Only 2's and 5's
Purely Periodic (not finite)	No 2's or 5's
Eventually (not purely) Periodic	2's or 5's (or both) times more

$$.38 = \frac{19}{2 \cdot 5^2}$$
$$.\overline{518} = \frac{14}{27}$$
$$4\overline{380952} = \frac{46}{5 \cdot 21}$$

Let's work out another example of an eventually (but not purely) periodic decimal for a fraction...

The reduced fraction 53/82 has even denominator, so won't be purely periodic.

The reduced fraction 53/82 has even denominator, so won't be purely periodic. **Compute it via our general method**:

$$\frac{53}{82} = \frac{53}{2 \cdot 41} =$$

The reduced fraction 53/82 has even denominator, so won't be purely periodic. **Compute it via our general method**:

$$\frac{53}{82} = \frac{53}{2 \cdot 41} = \frac{5 \cdot 53}{5 \cdot 2 \cdot 41} = \frac{1}{10} \frac{265}{41} = \frac{1}{10} \left( 6 + \frac{19}{41} \right)$$

(We split 265/41 into integer and fractional parts so no carrying below.)

The reduced fraction 53/82 has even denominator, so won't be purely periodic. **Compute it via our general method**:

$$\frac{53}{82} = \frac{53}{2 \cdot 41} = \frac{5 \cdot 53}{5 \cdot 2 \cdot 41} = \frac{1}{10} \frac{265}{41} = \frac{1}{10} \left( 6 + \frac{19}{41} \right)$$

(We split 265/41 into integer and fractional parts so no carrying below.) This has an eventually periodic decimal  $.6\overline{c_1c_2...c_d}$ .

The reduced fraction 53/82 has even denominator, so won't be purely periodic. **Compute it via our general method**:

$$\frac{53}{82} = \frac{53}{2 \cdot 41} = \frac{5 \cdot 53}{5 \cdot 2 \cdot 41} = \frac{1}{10} \frac{265}{41} = \frac{1}{10} \left( 6 + \frac{19}{41} \right).$$

(We split 265/41 into integer and fractional parts so no carrying below.) This has an eventually periodic decimal  $.6\overline{c_1c_2...c_d}$ .

To get decimal for 19/41, compute  $10^d - 1$  for d = 1, 2, ..., 40 until it's divisible by 41:  $10^5 - 1 = 41 \cdot 2439$  (cf. Exer. 2(i)), so

The reduced fraction 53/82 has even denominator, so won't be purely periodic. **Compute it via our general method**:

$$\frac{53}{82} = \frac{53}{2 \cdot 41} = \frac{5 \cdot 53}{5 \cdot 2 \cdot 41} = \frac{1}{10} \frac{265}{41} = \frac{1}{10} \left( 6 + \frac{19}{41} \right).$$

(We split 265/41 into integer and fractional parts so no carrying below.) This has an eventually periodic decimal  $.6\overline{c_1c_2...c_d}$ .

To get decimal for 19/41, compute  $10^d - 1$  for d = 1, 2, ..., 40 until it's divisible by 41:  $10^5 - 1 = 41 \cdot 2439$  (cf. Exer. 2(i)), so

$$\frac{19}{41} = \frac{19 \cdot 2439}{41 \cdot 2439} = \frac{46341}{99999} = .\overline{46341}$$

(as done for Exercise 2(ii)).

The reduced fraction 53/82 has even denominator, so won't be purely periodic. **Compute it via our general method**:

$$\frac{53}{82} = \frac{53}{2 \cdot 41} = \frac{5 \cdot 53}{5 \cdot 2 \cdot 41} = \frac{1}{10} \frac{265}{41} = \frac{1}{10} \left( 6 + \frac{19}{41} \right).$$

(We split 265/41 into integer and fractional parts so no carrying below.) This has an eventually periodic decimal  $.6\overline{c_1c_2...c_d}$ .

To get decimal for 19/41, compute  $10^d - 1$  for d = 1, 2, ..., 40 until it's divisible by 41:  $10^5 - 1 = 41 \cdot 2439$  (cf. Exer. 2(i)), so

$$\frac{19}{41} = \frac{19 \cdot 2439}{41 \cdot 2439} = \frac{46341}{99999} = .\overline{46341}$$

(as done for Exercise 2(ii)). Therefore

$$\frac{53}{82} = \frac{1}{10} \left( 6 + \frac{19}{41} \right) = \frac{1}{10} \left( 6 + .\overline{46341} \right) = .6\overline{46341}.$$

(As usual, no carrying occurred!)

We now understand the reasons for existence of (eventually) periodic structure in the decimal expansions of fractions. There are more refined *structural* questions about this, such as:

We now understand the reasons for existence of (eventually) periodic structure in the decimal expansions of fractions. There are more refined *structural* questions about this, such as:

**Question**: How are the period length and periodic part of a *purely periodic* decimal related to the "fractional form" of the number (e.g., why do periodic parts of  $1/7, 2/7, \ldots, 6/7$  cycle around)?

We now understand the reasons for existence of (eventually) periodic structure in the decimal expansions of fractions. There are more refined *structural* questions about this, such as:

**Question**: How are the period length and periodic part of a *purely periodic* decimal related to the "fractional form" of the number (e.g., why do periodic parts of  $1/7, 2/7, \ldots, 6/7$  cycle around)?

Preliminary work by Lambert, Bernoulli, Hindenburg (ca. 1750–1770). The whole topic was systematically explained by Gauss (Disquisitiones Arithmeticae, 1801).

We now understand the reasons for existence of (eventually) periodic structure in the decimal expansions of fractions. There are more refined *structural* questions about this, such as:

**Question**: How are the period length and periodic part of a *purely periodic* decimal related to the "fractional form" of the number (e.g., why do periodic parts of  $1/7, 2/7, \ldots, 6/7$  cycle around)?

Preliminary work by Lambert, Bernoulli, Hindenburg (ca. 1750–1770). The whole topic was systematically explained by Gauss (Disquisitiones Arithmeticae, 1801).

When n is not divisible by 2 or 5, set

$$L(n) =$$
 period length of the decimal for  $\frac{1}{n}$ .

We now understand the reasons for existence of (eventually) periodic structure in the decimal expansions of fractions. There are more refined *structural* questions about this, such as:

**Question**: How are the period length and periodic part of a *purely periodic* decimal related to the "fractional form" of the number (e.g., why do periodic parts of  $1/7, 2/7, \ldots, 6/7$  cycle around)?

Preliminary work by Lambert, Bernoulli, Hindenburg (ca. 1750–1770). The whole topic was systematically explained by Gauss (Disquisitiones Arithmeticae, 1801).

When n is not divisible by 2 or 5, set

$$L(n) =$$
 period length of the decimal for  $\frac{1}{n}$ .

## Example

$$\frac{1}{3} = .\overline{3} \Rightarrow L(3) = 1, \quad \frac{1}{7} = .\overline{142857} \Rightarrow L(7) = 6.$$

n	Decimal for $1/n$	L(n)
21	.047619	6
23	.0434782608695652173913	22
27	.037	3
29	$.\overline{0344827586206896551724137931}$	28
31	.032258064516129	15
33	.03	2
37	.027 (Exer. 2)	3
39	.025641	6
41	.02439	5
43	$.\overline{023255813953488372093}$	21



**Key insight of Gauss**: The structure of a fraction's decimal expansion depends on the *prime factorization* of the denominator (not on the fraction's position in the number line), and on specific properties of those primes. This is surprising!

# Period of 1/p, p prime, $p \neq 2$ or 5

р	3	7	11	13	17	19	23	29	31	37
L(p)	1	6	2	6	16	18	22	28	15	3
р	41	43	47	53	59	61	67	71	73	79
L(p)	5	21	46	13	58	60	33	35	8	13
р	83	89	97	101	103	107	109	113	127	131
L(p)	41	44	96	4	34	53	108	112	42	130

For p = 7, 13, 37, 41, L(p) is what we found in Exer. 2(i): the least d with  $10^d - 1$  a multiple of p. Why?

р	3	7	11	13	17	19	23	29	31	37
L(p)	1	6	2	6	16	18	22	28	15	3
р	41	43	47	53	59	61	67	71	73	79
L(p)	5	21	46	13	58	60	33	35	8	13
р	83	89	97	101	103	107	109	113	127	131
L(p)	41	44	96	4	34	53	108	112	42	130

Does the data suggest any general properties for L(p)?

р	3	7	11	13	17	19	23	29	31	37
L(p)	1	6	2	6	16	18	22	28	15	3
р	41	43	47	53	59	61	67	71	73	79
L(p)	5	21	46	13	58	60	33	35	8	13
р	83	89	97	101	103	107	109	113	127	131
L(p)	41	44	96	4	34	53	108	112	42	130

Does the data suggest any general properties for L(p)?

 Often L(p) = p − 1: proportion in table is 12/30 ≈ .4. (We will come back to this frequency issue at the very end.)

р	3	7	11	13	17	19	23	29	31	37
L(p)	1	6	2	6	16	18	22	28	15	3
р	41	43	47	53	59	61	67	71	73	79
L(p)	5	21	46	13	58	60	33	35	8	13
р	83	89	97	101	103	107	109	113	127	131
L(p)	41	44	96	4	34	53	108	112	42	130

Does the data suggest any general properties for L(p)?

- Often L(p) = p − 1: proportion in table is 12/30 ≈ .4. (We will come back to this frequency issue at the very end.)
- L(p) is a factor of p-1 without exceptions.

р	3	7	11	13	17	19	23	29	31	37
L(p)	1	6	2	6	16	18	22	28	15	3
р	41	43	47	53	59	61	67	71	73	79
L(p)	5	21	46	13	58	60	33	35	8	13
р	83	89	97	101	103	107	109	113	127	131
L(p)	41	44	96	4	34	53	108	112	42	130

Does the data suggest any general properties for L(p)?

- Often L(p) = p − 1: proportion in table is 12/30 ≈ .4. (We will come back to this frequency issue at the very end.)
- L(p) is a factor of p-1 without exceptions.

To understand this, let's look at decimal expansions of all *reduced* fractions a/n with 0 < a < n and n not divisible by 2 or 5.

The decimal expansions of reduced fractions a/7 with 0 < a < 7 (called *proper*) have the same *cycle* of digits: 142857, up to the choice of which digit comes first.

Fraction	Decimal
1/7	. <mark>1</mark> 42857
2/7	.2857 <mark>1</mark> 4
3/7	.42857 <mark>1</mark>
4/7	.57 <mark>1</mark> 428
5/7	.7 <mark>1</mark> 4285
6/7	.857 <mark>1</mark> 42
The decimal expansions of reduced fractions a/7 with 0 < a < 7 (called *proper*) have the same *cycle* of digits: 142857, up to the choice of which digit comes first.

Fraction	Decimal
1/7	. <mark>1</mark> 42857
2/7	.2857 <mark>1</mark> 4
3/7	.428571
4/7	.57 <mark>1</mark> 428
5/7	.7 <mark>1</mark> 4285
6/7	.857 <mark>1</mark> 42

Let's look at some more examples with small denominator...

Consider reduced proper fractions a/n with n = 3, 7, 9:

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal	Number of Cycles
1/3	.3	2/3	.6	2
1/7	.142857	2/7	.285714	1
3/7	.428571	4/7	.571428	
5/7	.714285	6/7	.857142	
1/9	.1	2/9	.2	6
4/9	.4	5/9	.5	
7/9	.7	8/9	.8	

For n = 3,9 there's no "cyclic pattern" but at least the *length* of the period is the same for all a/n as we vary a.

Consider reduced proper fractions a/n with n = 3, 7, 9:

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal	Number of Cycles
1/3	.3	2/3	.6	2
1/7	.142857	2/7	.285714	1
3/7	.428571	4/7	.571428	
5/7	.714285	6/7	.857142	
1/9	.1	2/9	.2	6
4/9	.4	5/9	.5	
7/9	.7	8/9	.8	

For n = 3,9 there's no "cyclic pattern" but at least the *length* of the period is the same for all a/n as we vary a. Let's work on Exercise 3, exploring denominators 11, 13, 17.

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal	Number of Cycles
1/11	.09	2/11	.18	
3/11	.27	4/11	.36	
5/11	.45	6/11	.54	
7/11	.63	8/11	.72	
9/11	.81	10/11	.90	
1/13	.076923	2/13	.153846	
3/13	.230769	4/13	.307692	
5/13	.384615	6/13	.461538	
7/13	.538461	8/13	.615384	
9/13	.692307	10/13	.769230	
11/13	.846153	12/13	.923076	

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal	Number of Cycles
1/11	.09	2/11	.18	5
3/11	.27	4/11	.36	
5/11	.45	6/11	.54	
7/11	.63	8/11	.72	
9/11	.81	10/11	.90	
1/13	.076923	2/13	.153846	
3/13	.230769	4/13	.307692	
5/13	.384615	6/13	.461538	
7/13	.538461	8/13	.615384	
9/13	.692307	10/13	.769230	
11/13	.846153	12/13	.923076	

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal	Number of Cycles
1/11	.09	2/11	.18	5
3/11	.27	4/11	.36	
5/11	.45	6/11	.54	
7/11	.63	8/11	.72	
9/11	.81	10/11	.90	
1/13	.076923	2/13	.153846	2
3/13	.230769	4/13	.307692	
5/13	.384615	6/13	.461538	
7/13	.538461	8/13	.615384	
9/13	.692307	10/13	.769230	
11/13	.846153	12/13	.923076	

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal	Number of Cycles
1/11	.09	2/11	.18	5
3/11	.27	4/11	.36	(2 digits each)
5/11	.45	6/11	.54	(10 fractions)
7/11	.63	8/11	.72	
9/11	.81	10/11	.90	
1/13	.076923	2/13	.153846	2
3/13	.230769	4/13	.307692	(6 digits each)
5/13	.384615	6/13	.461538	(12 fractions)
7/13	.538461	8/13	.615384	
9/13	.692307	10/13	.769230	
11/13	.846153	12/13	.923076	

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/17	. <mark>05</mark> 88235294117647	2/17	
3/17		4/17	
5/17		6/17	
7/17		8/17	
9/17		10/17	
11/17		12/17	
13/17		14/17	
15/17		16/17	

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/17	. <mark>05</mark> 88235294117647	2/17	
3/17		4/17	
5/17		6/17	
7/17		8/17	
9/17		10/17	
11/17		12/17	.7 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411764
13/17		14/17	
15/17		16/17	

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/17	. <mark>05</mark> 88235294117647	2/17	
3/17		4/17	
5/17		6/17	
7/17		8/17	.4705882352941176
9/17		10/17	
11/17		12/17	.7058823529411764
13/17		14/17	
15/17		16/17	

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/17	. <mark>05</mark> 88235294117647	2/17	
3/17		4/17	
5/17		6/17	
7/17		8/17	.4705882352941176
9/17		10/17	
11/17	.647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294117	12/17	.7058823529411764
13/17		14/17	
15/17		16/17	

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/17	. <mark>05</mark> 88235294117647	2/17	
3/17		4/17	
5/17		6/17	
7/17		8/17	.47 <mark>05</mark> 882352941176
9/17		10/17	
11/17	.647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294117	12/17	.7 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411764
13/17	.7647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411	14/17	
15/17		16/17	

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/17	. <mark>05</mark> 88235294117647	2/17	
3/17	.17647 <mark>05</mark> 882352941	4/17	
5/17		6/17	
7/17		8/17	.47 <mark>05</mark> 882352941176
9/17		10/17	
11/17	.647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294117	12/17	.7 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411764
13/17	.7647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411	14/17	
15/17		16/17	

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/17	. <mark>05</mark> 88235294117647	2/17	.117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294
3/17	.17647 <mark>05</mark> 882352941	4/17	
5/17		6/17	
7/17		8/17	.47 <mark>05</mark> 882352941176
9/17		10/17	
11/17	.647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294117	12/17	.7 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411764
13/17	.7647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411	14/17	
15/17		16/17	

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/17	. <mark>05</mark> 88235294117647	2/17	.117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294
3/17	.17647 <mark>05</mark> 882352941	4/17	
5/17		6/17	
7/17	.4117647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529	8/17	.47 <mark>05</mark> 882352941176
9/17		10/17	
11/17	.647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294117	12/17	.7 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411764
13/17	.7647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411	14/17	
15/17		16/17	

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/17	. <mark>05</mark> 88235294117647	2/17	.117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294
3/17	.17647 <mark>05</mark> 882352941	4/17	
5/17		6/17	
7/17	.4117647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529	8/17	.4705882352941176
9/17		10/17	
11/17	.647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294117	12/17	.7058823529411764
13/17	.7647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411	14/17	
15/17		16/17	.94117647 <mark>05</mark> 882352

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/17	. <mark>05</mark> 88235294117647	2/17	.117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294
3/17	.17647 <mark>05</mark> 882352941	4/17	
5/17	.294117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235	6/17	
7/17	.4117647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529	8/17	.4705882352941176
9/17		10/17	
11/17	.647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294117	12/17	.7058823529411764
13/17	.7647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411	14/17	
15/17		16/17	.94117647 <mark>05</mark> 882352

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/17	. <mark>05</mark> 88235294117647	2/17	.117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294
3/17	.17647 <mark>05</mark> 882352941	4/17	
5/17	.294117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235	6/17	
7/17	.4117647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529	8/17	.4705882352941176
9/17	.5294117647 <mark>05</mark> 8823	10/17	
11/17	.647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294117	12/17	.7058823529411764
13/17	.7647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411	14/17	
15/17		16/17	.94117647 <mark>05</mark> 882352

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/17	. <mark>05</mark> 88235294117647	2/17	.117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294
3/17	.17647 <mark>05</mark> 882352941	4/17	
5/17	.294117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235	6/17	.35294117647 <mark>05</mark> 882
7/17	.4117647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529	8/17	.4705882352941176
9/17	.5294117647 <mark>05</mark> 8823	10/17	
11/17	.647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294117	12/17	.7058823529411764
13/17	.7647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411	14/17	
15/17		16/17	.94117647 <mark>05</mark> 882352

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/17	. <mark>05</mark> 88235294117647	2/17	.117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294
3/17	.17647 <mark>05</mark> 882352941	4/17	.235294117647 <mark>05</mark> 88
5/17	.294117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235	6/17	.35294117647 <mark>05</mark> 882
7/17	.4117647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529	8/17	.4705882352941176
9/17	.5294117647 <mark>05</mark> 8823	10/17	
11/17	.647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294117	12/17	.7058823529411764
13/17	.7647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411	14/17	
15/17		16/17	.94117647 <mark>05</mark> 882352

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/17	. <mark>05</mark> 88235294117647	2/17	.117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294
3/17	.17647 <mark>05</mark> 882352941	4/17	.235294117647 <mark>05</mark> 88
5/17	.294117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235	6/17	.35294117647 <mark>05</mark> 882
7/17	.4117647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529	8/17	.47 <mark>05</mark> 882352941176
9/17	.5294117647 <mark>05</mark> 8823	10/17	
11/17	.647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294117	12/17	.7 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411764
13/17	.7647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411	14/17	.8235294117647 <mark>05</mark> 8
15/17		16/17	.94117647 <mark>05</mark> 882352

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/17	. <mark>05</mark> 88235294117647	2/17	.117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294
3/17	.17647 <mark>05</mark> 882352941	4/17	.235294117647 <mark>05</mark> 88
5/17	.294117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235	6/17	.35294117647 <mark>05</mark> 882
7/17	.4117647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529	8/17	.4705882352941176
9/17	.5294117647 <mark>05</mark> 8823	10/17	
11/17	.647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294117	12/17	.7 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411764
13/17	.7647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411	14/17	.8235294117647 <mark>05</mark> 8
15/17	.88235294117647 <mark>05</mark>	16/17	.94117647 <mark>05</mark> 882352

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/17	. <mark>05</mark> 88235294117647	2/17	.117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294
3/17	.17647 <mark>05</mark> 882352941	4/17	.235294117647 <mark>05</mark> 88
5/17	.294117647 <mark>05</mark> 88235	6/17	.35294117647 <mark>05</mark> 882
7/17	.4117647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529	8/17	.47 <mark>05</mark> 882352941176
9/17	.5294117647 <mark>05</mark> 8823	10/17	. <mark>5</mark> 88235294117647 <mark>0</mark>
11/17	.647 <mark>05</mark> 88235294117	12/17	.7 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411764
13/17	.7647 <mark>05</mark> 8823529411	14/17	.8235294117647 <mark>05</mark> 8
15/17	.88235294117647 <mark>05</mark>	16/17	.94117647 <mark>05</mark> 882352

All 16 of these decimals form 1 cycle (with 16 digits). Let's try another example...

Denominator 21: Pick a color and compute the periodic parts.

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/21		2/21	
4/21		5/21	
8/21		10/21	
11/21		13/21	
16/21		17/21	
19/21		20/21	

### Denominator 21:

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/21	.047619	2/21	.095238
4/21	.190476	5/21	.238095
8/21	.380952	10/21	.476190
11/21	.523809	13/21	.619047
16/21	.761904	17/21	.809523
19/21	.904761	20/21	.952380

There are 2 cycles, 6 digits each, and 12 proper fractions overall. This suggests trying to extend the pattern of Exercise 3(ii) to non-prime denominators (not divisible by 2 or 5).

Let's try another...

## Denominator 27.

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/27	.037	2/27	.074	4/27	.148
5/27	.185	7/27	.259	8/27	.296
10/27	.370	11/27	.407	13/27	.481
14/27	.518	16/27	.592	17/27	.629
19/27	.703	20/27	.740	22/27	.814
23/27	.851	25/27	.925	26/27	.962

There are 6 cycles, 3 digits each, and 18 proper fractions overall.

#### **Unifying Previous Data**

Can we formulate a general conjecture concerning decimals for reduced proper a/n with n not divisible by 2 or 5 (i.e., a/n has a purely periodic decimal)?

Can we formulate a general conjecture concerning decimals for reduced proper a/n with n not divisible by 2 or 5 (i.e., a/n has a purely periodic decimal)?

## Conjecture

For n not divisible by 2 or 5, all reduced proper fractions with denominator n have the same decimal period length L(n). Moreover,

 $L(n) \cdot #(cycles) = #reduced proper fractions with denominator n.$ 

Can we formulate a general conjecture concerning decimals for reduced proper a/n with n not divisible by 2 or 5 (i.e., a/n has a purely periodic decimal)?

## Conjecture

For n not divisible by 2 or 5, all reduced proper fractions with denominator n have the same decimal period length L(n). Moreover,

 $L(n) \cdot #(cycles) = #reduced proper fractions with denominator n.$ 

Does this illuminate the case n = p a prime ( $\neq 2, 5$ )?

Can we formulate a general conjecture concerning decimals for reduced proper a/n with n not divisible by 2 or 5 (i.e., a/n has a purely periodic decimal)?

## Conjecture

For n not divisible by 2 or 5, all reduced proper fractions with denominator n have the same decimal period length L(n). Moreover,

 $L(n) \cdot \#(cycles) = \#reduced proper fractions with denominator n.$ 

Does this illuminate the case n = p a prime ( $\neq 2, 5$ )?

#### Example

For a prime  $p \neq 2, 5$ , there are p - 1 reduced proper fractions with denominator p (i.e.,  $1/p, 2/p, \ldots, (p-1)/p$ ), so the Conjecture, if true, implies L(p) is a factor of p - 1. That would explain an earlier observation in the tables.

#### Theorem (Gauss, 1801)

For n not divisible by 2 or 5,

- all reduced proper fractions with denominator n have the same decimal period length L(n),
- 2  $L(n) \cdot \#(cycles) = \#reduced proper frac. with denominator n.$



Proof of (1):

### Theorem (Gauss, 1801)

For n not divisible by 2 or 5,

- all reduced proper fractions with denominator n have the same decimal period length L(n),
- 2  $L(n) \cdot \#(cycles) = \#reduced proper frac. with denominator n.$



Proof of (1): We saw that a *reduced* a/n has a *d*-digit decimal periodic part precisely when *d* is minimal such that *n* is a factor of  $10^d - 1$ , a condition that has **nothing to do** with *a*.

### Theorem (Gauss, 1801)

For n not divisible by 2 or 5,

- all reduced proper fractions with denominator n have the same decimal period length L(n),
- 2  $L(n) \cdot \#(cycles) = \#reduced proper frac. with denominator n.$



Proof of (1): We saw that a *reduced* a/n has a *d*-digit decimal periodic part precisely when *d* is minimal such that *n* is a factor of  $10^d - 1$ , a condition that has **nothing to do** with *a*. The proof of (2) involves a more profound idea, as we'll see.

# **Unifying Previous Data**

Here is part (2) on its own:

# Theorem (Gauss)

For n not divisible by 2 or 5,

 $L(n) \cdot #(cycles) = #reduced proper fractions with denominator n.$ 

n	L(n)	#Cycles	# Red. Fractions
3	1	2	2
7	6	1	6
9	1	6	6
11	2	5	10
13	6	2	12
17	16	1	16
21	6	2	12
27	3	6	18

## **Unifying Previous Data**

Here is part (2) on its own:

## Theorem (Gauss)

For n not divisible by 2 or 5,

 $L(n) \cdot #(cycles) = #reduced proper fractions with denominator n.$ 

n	L(n)	#Cycles	# Red. Fractions
3	1	2	2
7	6	1	6
9	1	6	6
11	2	5	10
13	6	2	12
17	16	1	16
21	6	2	12
27	3	6	18

The proof requires "periodic math" (usually called "modular arithmetic"). It is Gauss' most important insight on this topic.

We will consider as equal all numbers that are in the same relative position between multiples of a fixed positive integer (such as 12).
We will consider as equal all numbers that are in the same relative position between multiples of a fixed positive integer (such as 12).

# Example (Time on a clock)

10 + 4 = 2 and 4 - 7 = 9. The numbers 14 and 2 are both 2 units to the right of a multiple of 12 and -3 and 9 are both 3 units to the left of a multiple of 12. Here we proceed as if 12 = 0.

We will consider as equal all numbers that are in the same relative position between multiples of a fixed positive integer (such as 12).

### Example (Time on a clock)

10 + 4 = 2 and 4 - 7 = 9. The numbers 14 and 2 are both 2 units to the right of a multiple of 12 and -3 and 9 are both 3 units to the left of a multiple of 12. Here we proceed as if 12 = 0.

# Example (Days of the week)

3 = 10 = 17 = 24 and 4 + 7 = 4. Here we proceed as if 7 = 0.

We will consider as equal all numbers that are in the same relative position between multiples of a fixed positive integer (such as 12).

### Example (Time on a clock)

10 + 4 = 2 and 4 - 7 = 9. The numbers 14 and 2 are both 2 units to the right of a multiple of 12 and -3 and 9 are both 3 units to the left of a multiple of 12. Here we proceed as if 12 = 0.

# Example (Days of the week)

3 = 10 = 17 = 24 and 4 + 7 = 4. Here we proceed as if 7 = 0.

There is nothing special about 12 or 7 in these considerations, from a mathematical point of view. Gauss was the first to recognize the wider significance.

For a positive integer n (e.g., 7, 12), say  $a \equiv b \mod n$  to mean a and b have the same relative position between multiples of n (equiv: they have the same remainder when divided by n).

For a positive integer n (e.g., 7, 12), say  $a \equiv b \mod n$  to mean a and b have the same relative position between multiples of n (equiv: they have the same remainder when divided by n).

# Example (Integers mod 4)

 $9 \equiv 5 \equiv 1 \equiv -3 \mod 4$  because they are all in the same relative position between multiples of 4:

 $\ldots, -5, -4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, \ldots$ 

They all leave remainder 1 when divided by 4.

For a positive integer n (e.g., 7, 12), say  $a \equiv b \mod n$  to mean a and b have the same relative position between multiples of n (equiv: they have the same remainder when divided by n).

# Example (Integers mod 4)

 $9 \equiv 5 \equiv 1 \equiv -3 \mod 4$  because they are all in the same relative position between multiples of 4:

 $\ldots, -5, -4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, \ldots$ 

They all leave remainder 1 when divided by 4.

When we work "mod *n*" we essentially ignore *n* by treating it like 0:  $5 \equiv 0 \mod 5$ ,  $8 \equiv 0 \mod 8$ ,  $24 \equiv 0 \mod 8$ , and so on.

Crucial idea with no clock interpretation: we can multiply too!

Crucial idea with no clock interpretation: we can multiply too!

# Example

# Can we add/multiply $17 \equiv 2 \mod 5$ and $9 \equiv -1 \mod 5$ ?

Crucial idea with no clock interpretation: we can multiply too!

# Example

Can we add/multiply  $17 \equiv 2 \mod 5$  and  $9 \equiv -1 \mod 5$ ?

$$17 + 9 \stackrel{?}{\equiv} 2 - 1 \mod 5 \qquad (26 \stackrel{\checkmark}{\equiv} 1 \mod 5)$$
$$17 \cdot 9 \stackrel{?}{\equiv} 2 \cdot (-1) \mod 5 \qquad (153 \stackrel{\checkmark}{\equiv} -2 \mod 5).$$

Crucial idea with no clock interpretation: we can multiply too!

#### Example

Can we add/multiply  $17 \equiv 2 \mod 5$  and  $9 \equiv -1 \mod 5$ ?

$$17 + 9 \stackrel{?}{\equiv} 2 - 1 \mod 5 \qquad (26 \stackrel{\checkmark}{\equiv} 1 \mod 5)$$
$$17 \cdot 9 \stackrel{?}{\equiv} 2 \cdot (-1) \mod 5 \qquad (153 \stackrel{\checkmark}{\equiv} -2 \mod 5).$$

#### Theorem

If  $a \equiv b \mod m$  and  $c \equiv d \mod m$  then

 $a \pm c \equiv b \pm d \mod m$ ,  $ac \equiv bd \mod m$ .

Crucial idea with no clock interpretation: we can multiply too!

#### Example

Can we add/multiply  $17 \equiv 2 \mod 5$  and  $9 \equiv -1 \mod 5$ ?

$$17 + 9 \stackrel{?}{\equiv} 2 - 1 \mod 5 \qquad (26 \stackrel{\checkmark}{\equiv} 1 \mod 5)$$
$$17 \cdot 9 \stackrel{?}{\equiv} 2 \cdot (-1) \mod 5 \qquad (153 \stackrel{\checkmark}{\equiv} -2 \mod 5).$$

#### Theorem

If  $a \equiv b \mod m$  and  $c \equiv d \mod m$  then

$$a \pm c \equiv b \pm d \mod m$$
,  $ac \equiv bd \mod m$ .

There is generally *no division* by nonzero numbers in modular arithmetic.

Crucial idea with no clock interpretation: we can multiply too!

#### Example

Can we add/multiply  $17 \equiv 2 \mod 5$  and  $9 \equiv -1 \mod 5$ ?

$$17 + 9 \stackrel{?}{\equiv} 2 - 1 \mod 5 \qquad (26 \stackrel{\checkmark}{\equiv} 1 \mod 5)$$
$$17 \cdot 9 \stackrel{?}{\equiv} 2 \cdot (-1) \mod 5 \qquad (153 \stackrel{\checkmark}{\equiv} -2 \mod 5).$$

#### Theorem

If  $a \equiv b \mod m$  and  $c \equiv d \mod m$  then

 $a \pm c \equiv b \pm d \mod m$ ,  $ac \equiv bd \mod m$ .

There is generally *no division* by nonzero numbers in modular arithmetic. For example,  $3 \neq 8 \mod 10$  but upon multiplying both sides by 2 we have  $6 \equiv 16 \mod 10$  and we cannot "cancel the 2's".

Crucial idea with no clock interpretation: we can multiply too!

#### Example

Can we add/multiply  $17 \equiv 2 \mod 5$  and  $9 \equiv -1 \mod 5$ ?

$$17 + 9 \stackrel{?}{\equiv} 2 - 1 \mod 5 \qquad (26 \stackrel{\checkmark}{\equiv} 1 \mod 5)$$
$$17 \cdot 9 \stackrel{?}{\equiv} 2 \cdot (-1) \mod 5 \qquad (153 \stackrel{\checkmark}{\equiv} -2 \mod 5).$$

#### Theorem

If  $a \equiv b \mod m$  and  $c \equiv d \mod m$  then

 $a \pm c \equiv b \pm d \mod m$ ,  $ac \equiv bd \mod m$ .

There is generally *no division* by nonzero numbers in modular arithmetic. For example,  $3 \not\equiv 8 \mod 10$  but upon multiplying both sides by 2 we have  $6 \equiv 16 \mod 10$  and we cannot "cancel the 2's". **Let's work on Exercise 4, applying modular arithmetic.** 

The key to period lengths of decimals is *powers* (of 10) mod n.

# Example

Successive powers of 2 mod 7 are 2, 4, 1, 2, 4, 1, ....

The key to period lengths of decimals is *powers* (of 10) mod n.

# Example

Successive powers of 2 mod 7 are 2, 4, 1, 2, 4, 1, ....

What are the powers of 10 mod 11? Or 10 mod 27?

The key to period lengths of decimals is *powers* (of 10) mod n.

#### Example

Successive powers of 2 mod 7 are 2, 4, 1, 2, 4, 1, . . . .

What are the powers of 10 mod 11? Or 10 mod 27? Working mod 11, there are only two powers of 10:

 $10^1 \equiv 10 \mod 11$ ,

The key to period lengths of decimals is *powers* (of 10) mod n.

#### Example

Successive powers of 2 mod 7 are 2, 4, 1, 2, 4, 1, . . . .

What are the powers of 10 mod 11? Or 10 mod 27? Working mod 11, there are only two powers of 10:

 $10^1 \equiv 10 \mod 11,$  $10^2 = 100 \equiv 1 \mod 11,$ 

The key to period lengths of decimals is *powers* (of 10) mod n.

# Example

Successive powers of 2 mod 7 are 2, 4, 1, 2, 4, 1, ....

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} 10^1 & \equiv & 10 \mbox{ mod } 11, \\ 10^2 = 100 & \equiv & 1 \mbox{ mod } 11, \\ 10^3 = 10 \cdot 10^2 & \equiv & 10 \mbox{ mod } 11, \end{array}$$

The key to period lengths of decimals is *powers* (of 10) mod n.

# Example

Successive powers of 2 mod 7 are 2, 4, 1, 2, 4, 1, ....

		Let's work out powers of 10 mod 27.
$10^4 = 10 \cdot 10^3$	$\equiv$	$1 \mod 11$ , and so on (10, 1, 10, 1).
$10^3 = 10 \cdot 10^2$	≡	10 mod 11,
$10^2 = 100$	$\equiv$	1 mod 11,
$10^{1}$	≡	10 mod 11,

The key to period lengths of decimals is *powers* (of 10) mod n.

# Example

Successive powers of 2 mod 7 are 2, 4, 1, 2, 4, 1, . . . .

$10^{1}$	$\equiv$	10 mod 11,
$10^2 = 100$	$\equiv$	1 mod 11,
$10^3=10\cdot 10^2$	$\equiv$	10 mod 11,
$10^4=10\cdot 10^3$	$\equiv$	$1 \mod 11$ , and so on (10, 1, 10, 1).
		Let's work out powers of 10 mod 27.
10 <sup>1</sup>	$\equiv$	10 mod 27,

The key to period lengths of decimals is *powers* (of 10) mod n.

# Example

Successive powers of 2 mod 7 are 2, 4, 1, 2, 4, 1, . . . .

$10^{1}$	$\equiv$	10 mod 11,
$10^2 = 100$	$\equiv$	1 mod 11,
$10^3 = 10 \cdot 10^2$	$\equiv$	10 mod 11,
$10^4 = 10 \cdot 10^3$	$\equiv$	1 mod 11, and so on (10, 1, 10, 1).
		Let's work out powers of 10 mod 27.
10 <sup>1</sup>	$\equiv$	10 mod 27,
$10^2 = 100$	$\equiv$	19 mod 27,

The key to period lengths of decimals is *powers* (of 10) mod n.

# Example

Successive powers of 2 mod 7 are 2, 4, 1, 2, 4, 1, . . . .

$10^{1}$	$\equiv$	10 mod 11,
$10^2 = 100$	$\equiv$	1 mod 11,
$10^3=10\cdot 10^2$	≡	10 mod 11,
$10^4=10\cdot 10^3$	$\equiv$	1 mod 11, and so on (10, 1, 10, 1).
		Let's work out powers of 10 mod 27.
10 <sup>1</sup>	≡	Let's work out powers of 10 mod 27. 10 mod 27,
$10^1$ $10^2 = 100$	=	<b>Let's work out powers of</b> 10 mod 27. 10 mod 27, 19 mod 27,

The key to period lengths of decimals is *powers* (of 10) mod n.

# Example

Successive powers of 2 mod 7 are 2, 4, 1, 2, 4, 1, . . . .

10 <sup>1</sup>	$\equiv$	10 mod 11,
$10^2 = 100$	≡	1 mod 11,
$10^3=10\cdot 10^2$	≡	10 mod 11,
$10^4=10\cdot 10^3$	≡	1 mod 11, and so on (10, 1, 10, 1).
		Let's work out newers of 10 mod 27
		Let's work out powers of 10 mod 27.
10 <sup>1</sup>	$\equiv$	10 mod 27,
$10^1$ $10^2 = 100$		10 mod 27, 19 mod 27,
$10^{1}$ $10^{2} = 100$ $10^{3} = 10 \cdot 10^{2}$	=	10 mod 27, 19 mod 27, 1 mod 27,

The key to period lengths of decimals is *powers* (of 10) mod n.

# Example

Successive powers of 2 mod 7 are  $2, 4, 1, 2, 4, 1, \ldots$ 

$10^{1}$	$\equiv$	10 mod 11,
$10^2 = 100$	$\equiv$	1 mod 11,
$10^3=10\cdot 10^2$	$\equiv$	10 mod 11,
$10^4=10\cdot 10^3$	≡	1 mod 11, and so on (10, 1, 10, 1).
		Let's work out powers of 10 mod 27.
$10^{1}$	$\equiv$	10 mod 27,
$10^1$ $10^2 = 100$	=	10 mod 27, 19 mod 27,
$10^{1}$ $10^{2} = 100$ $10^{3} = 10 \cdot 10^{2}$		10 mod 27, 19 mod 27, 1 mod 27,
$10^{1}$ $10^{2} = 100$ $10^{3} = 10 \cdot 10^{2}$ $10^{4} = 10 \cdot 10^{3}$		10 mod 27, 19 mod 27, 1 mod 27, 10 mod 27, etc. (19, 10, 1, 19, 10, 1,).

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 7	3	2	6	4	5	1	3	2	6	4
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 11	10	1	10	1	10	1	10	1	10	1
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 13	10	9	12	3	4	1	10	9	12	3
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 21	10	16	13	4	19	1	10	16	13	4
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 27	10	19	1	10	19	1	10	19	1	10
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 41	10	18	16	37	1	10	18	16	37	1

Once we know  $10^3 \equiv 16 \mod 41$ , to find  $10^4 \mod 41$  don't start from scratch.

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 7	3	2	6	4	5	1	3	2	6	4
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 11	10	1	10	1	10	1	10	1	10	1
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 13	10	9	12	3	4	1	10	9	12	3
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 21	10	16	13	4	19	1	10	16	13	4
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 27	10	19	1	10	19	1	10	19	1	10
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 41	10	18	16	37	1	10	18	16	37	1

Once we know  $10^3 \equiv 16 \mod 41$ , to find  $10^4 \mod 41$  don't start from scratch. Instead:  $10^4 = 10 \cdot 10^3 \equiv 10 \cdot 16 \mod 41$ , and  $160 \equiv 37 \mod 41$ .

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 7	3	2	6	4	5	1	3	2	6	4
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 11	10	1	10	1	10	1	10	1	10	1
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 13	10	9	12	3	4	1	10	9	12	3
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 21	10	16	13	4	19	1	10	16	13	4
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 27	10	19	1	10	19	1	10	19	1	10
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 41	10	18	16	37	1	10	18	16	37	1

Once we know  $10^3 \equiv 16 \mod 41$ , to find  $10^4 \mod 41$  don't start from scratch. Instead:  $10^4 = 10 \cdot 10^3 \equiv 10 \cdot 16 \mod 41$ , and  $160 \equiv 37 \mod 41$ . So can compute  $10^k \mod 13$  by bare hands!

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 7	3	2	6	4	5	1	3	2	6	4
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 11	10	1	10	1	10	1	10	1	10	1
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 13	10	9	12	3	4	1	10	9	12	3
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 21	10	16	13	4	19	1	10	16	13	4
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 27	10	19	1	10	19	1	10	19	1	10
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 41	10	18	16	37	1	10	18	16	37	1

Once we know  $10^3 \equiv 16 \mod 41$ , to find  $10^4 \mod 41$  don't start from scratch. Instead:  $10^4 = 10 \cdot 10^3 \equiv 10 \cdot 16 \mod 41$ , and  $160 \equiv 37 \mod 41$ . So can compute  $10^k \mod 13$  by bare hands!

#### Theorem (Gauss' formula)

For n not divisible by 2 or 5, L(n) is the number of different powers of 10 mod n.

Where does Gauss' Formula come from? For n not divisible by 2 or 5, we've seen:

L(n) = smallest d > 0 such that  $10^d - 1$  is a multiple of n

Where does Gauss' Formula come from? For n not divisible by 2 or 5, we've seen:

$$L(n) = \text{smallest } d > 0 \text{ such that } 10^d - 1 \text{ is a multiple of } n$$
$$= \text{smallest } d > 0 \text{ such that } 10^d \equiv 1 \text{ mod } n,$$

so  $10^k \mod n$  cycles for k > d (and cycle ends at 1; why?).

Where does Gauss' Formula come from? For n not divisible by 2 or 5, we've seen:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} L(n) & = & \mbox{smallest } d > 0 \mbox{ such that } 10^d - 1 \mbox{ is a multiple of } n \\ & = & \mbox{smallest } d > 0 \mbox{ such that } 10^d \equiv 1 \mbox{ mod } n, \end{array}$$

so  $10^k \mod n$  cycles for k > d (and cycle ends at 1; why?). We've also seen that n has a multiple  $10^d - 1$  with  $d \le n - 1$ , so

 $L(n) \leq n-1.$ 

Where does Gauss' Formula come from? For n not divisible by 2 or 5, we've seen:

$$L(n) = \text{smallest } d > 0 \text{ such that } 10^d - 1 \text{ is a multiple of } n$$
$$= \text{smallest } d > 0 \text{ such that } 10^d \equiv 1 \text{ mod } n,$$

so  $10^k \mod n$  cycles for k > d (and cycle ends at 1; why?). We've also seen that n has a multiple  $10^d - 1$  with  $d \le n - 1$ , so

 $L(n) \leq n-1.$ 

 $10 - 1 = 3^{2}$   $10^{2} - 1 = 3^{2} \cdot 11$   $10^{3} - 1 = 3^{3} \cdot 37$   $10^{4} - 1 = 3^{2} \cdot 11 \cdot 101$   $10^{5} - 1 = 3^{2} \cdot 41 \cdot 271$   $10^{6} - 1 = 3^{3} \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 37$ So  $\frac{1}{7}$  has decimal period length 6 and  $\frac{1}{37}$  has period length

Where does Gauss' Formula come from? For n not divisible by 2 or 5, we've seen:

$$L(n) = \text{smallest } d > 0 \text{ such that } 10^d - 1 \text{ is a multiple of } n$$
$$= \text{smallest } d > 0 \text{ such that } 10^d \equiv 1 \text{ mod } n,$$

so  $10^k \mod n$  cycles for k > d (and cycle ends at 1; why?). We've also seen that n has a multiple  $10^d - 1$  with  $d \le n - 1$ , so

 $L(n) \leq n-1.$ 

 $10 - 1 = 3^{2}$   $10^{2} - 1 = 3^{2} \cdot 11$   $10^{3} - 1 = 3^{3} \cdot 37$   $10^{4} - 1 = 3^{2} \cdot 11 \cdot 101$   $10^{5} - 1 = 3^{2} \cdot 41 \cdot 271$   $10^{6} - 1 = 3^{3} \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 37$ So  $\frac{1}{7}$  has decimal period length 6 and  $\frac{1}{37}$  has period length 3.

Considering  $10^k \mod n$  further will help us to understand the cycling pattern in periodic parts. For n = 7, first arrange the decimals in *successive cyclic order* (i.e., shift to the right):

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/7	. <mark>1</mark> 42857	1/7	. <mark>1</mark> 42857
2/7	.2857 <mark>1</mark> 4	5/7	.7 <mark>1</mark> 4285
3/7	.42857 <mark>1</mark>	4/7	.57 <mark>1</mark> 428
4/7	.57 <mark>1</mark> 428	6/7	.857 <mark>1</mark> 42
5/7	.7 <mark>1</mark> 4285	2/7	.2857 <mark>1</mark> 4
6/7	.857 <mark>1</mark> 42	3/7	.428571

Considering  $10^k \mod n$  further will help us to understand the cycling pattern in periodic parts. For n = 7, first arrange the decimals in *successive cyclic order* (i.e., shift to the right):

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/7	. <mark>1</mark> 42857	1/7	. <mark>1</mark> 42857
2/7	.2857 <mark>1</mark> 4	5/7	.7 <mark>1</mark> 4285
3/7	.42857 <mark>1</mark>	4/7	.57 <mark>1</mark> 428
4/7	.57 <mark>1</mark> 428	6/7	.857 <mark>1</mark> 42
5/7	.7 <mark>1</mark> 4285	2/7	.2857 <mark>1</mark> 4
6/7	.857 <mark>1</mark> 42	3/7	. <mark>428571</mark>

Cyclic shifts in the *other* order (i.e., to the left) will turn out to be more useful because that matches a natural algebraic way of shifting decimals: multiply by 10 (e.g.,  $10 \cdot (.\overline{142857}) = 1.\overline{428571}$ ).

Considering  $10^k \mod n$  further will help us to understand the cycling pattern in periodic parts. For n = 7, first arrange the decimals in *successive cyclic order* (i.e., shift to the right):

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/7	. <mark>1</mark> 42857	1/7	. <mark>1</mark> 42857
2/7	.2857 <mark>1</mark> 4	5/7	.7 <mark>1</mark> 4285
3/7	.42857 <mark>1</mark>	4/7	.57 <mark>1</mark> 428
4/7	.57 <mark>1</mark> 428	6/7	.857 <mark>1</mark> 42
5/7	.7 <mark>1</mark> 4285	2/7	.2857 <mark>1</mark> 4
6/7	.857 <mark>1</mark> 42	3/7	. <mark>428571</mark>

Cyclic shifts in the *other* order (i.e., to the left) will turn out to be more useful because that matches a natural algebraic way of shifting decimals: multiply by 10 (e.g.,  $10 \cdot (.\overline{142857}) = 1.\overline{428571}$ ).

The decimal moves to the **right** when multiplying by 10, so *relative to the decimal point* the sequence of digits moves **left**.
Cyclic shifts in the other order are better because it matches a natural algebraic way of shifting decimals: multiply by 10.

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/7	. <mark>1</mark> 42857	1/7	. <mark>1</mark> 42857
2/7	.2857 <mark>1</mark> 4	3/7	.428571
3/7	.42857 <mark>1</mark>	2/7	.2857 <mark>1</mark> 4
4/7	.57 <mark>1</mark> 428	6/7	.857 <mark>1</mark> 42
5/7	.7 <mark>1</mark> 4285	4/7	.57 <mark>1</mark> 428
6/7	.857 <mark>1</mark> 42	5/7	.7 <mark>1</mark> 4285

Cyclic shifts in the other order are better because it matches a natural algebraic way of shifting decimals: multiply by 10.

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/7	. <mark>1</mark> 42857	1/7	. <mark>1</mark> 42857
2/7	.2857 <mark>1</mark> 4	3/7	. <mark>428571</mark>
3/7	.42857 <mark>1</mark>	2/7	.2857 <mark>1</mark> 4
4/7	.57 <mark>1</mark> 428	6/7	.857 <mark>1</mark> 42
5/7	.7 <mark>1</mark> 4285	4/7	.57 <mark>1</mark> 428
6/7	.857 <mark>1</mark> 42	5/7	.7 <mark>1</mark> 4285

The new ordered sequence of *numerators* 1, 3, 2, 6, 4, 5 on the right has a nice interpretation. **Any guesses?** (Hint: Think mod 7.)

Cyclic shifts in the other order are better because it matches a natural algebraic way of shifting decimals: multiply by 10.

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/7	. <mark>1</mark> 42857	1/7	. <mark>1</mark> 42857
2/7	.2857 <mark>1</mark> 4	3/7	.428571
3/7	.42857 <mark>1</mark>	2/7	.2857 <mark>1</mark> 4
4/7	.57 <mark>1</mark> 428	6/7	.857 <mark>1</mark> 42
5/7	.7 <mark>1</mark> 4285	4/7	.57 <mark>1</mark> 428
6/7	.857 <mark>1</mark> 42	5/7	.7 <mark>1</mark> 4285

The new ordered sequence of numerators 1, 3, 2, 6, 4, 5 on the right has a nice interpretation. **Any guesses?** (Hint: Think mod 7.) These are the *remainders* when  $10^k$  is divided by 7; in other words, the corresponding reduced proper fractions a/7 are (in order) the **fractional parts** of  $10^k/7$  (k = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...).

# Cyclic Shifts for Denominator 7

k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
10 <sup>k</sup> mod 7	1	3	2	6	4	5	1	3	2	6	4

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/7	.142857	1/7	. <mark>1</mark> 42857
10/7	$1.\overline{428571}$	3/7	.428571
$10^2/7$	$14.\overline{285714}$	2/7	.2857 <mark>1</mark> 4
$10^{3}/7$	142.857142	6/7	.857 <mark>1</mark> 42
$10^4/7$	1428.571428	4/7	.57 <mark>1</mark> 428
10 <sup>5</sup> /7	14285.714285	5/7	.7 <mark>1</mark> 4285

# Cyclic Shifts for Denominator 13: 2 Cyclic Shifts

The powers  $10^k \mod 13$  are  $1, 10, 9, 12, 3, 4, 1, 10, 9, \ldots$ 

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/13	. <mark>076923</mark>	2/13	. <mark>1</mark> 53846
10/13	.76923 <mark>0</mark>	7/13	. <u>53846</u> 1
9/13	. <del>6923<b>0</b>7</del>	5/13	.3846 <mark>1</mark> 5
12/13	. <u>923076</u>	11/13	.846 <mark>1</mark> 53
3/13	.23 <mark>0</mark> 769	6/13	.46 <mark>1</mark> 538
4/13	. <mark>30</mark> 7692	8/13	. <mark>61</mark> 5384

## Cyclic Shifts for Denominator 13: 2 Cyclic Shifts

The powers  $10^k \mod 13$  are  $1, 10, 9, 12, 3, 4, 1, 10, 9, \ldots$ 

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/13	. <mark>076923</mark>	2/13	. <mark>1</mark> 53846
10/13	.76923 <mark>0</mark>	7/13	. <u>53846</u> 1
9/13	. <del>6923<b>0</b>7</del>	<mark>5</mark> /13	.3846 <mark>1</mark> 5
12/13	. <u>923076</u>	<u>11</u> /13	. <del>846<b>1</b>53</del>
3/13	.23 <mark>0</mark> 769	<mark>6</mark> /13	. <mark>461</mark> 538
4/13	. <mark>30</mark> 7692	<mark>8</mark> /13	. <mark>61</mark> 5384

We missed 2, and  $10^k \cdot 2 \mod 13$  cycles the rest: 2, 7, 5, 11, 6, 8.

Example  $10 \cdot 2 = 20 \equiv 7 \mod 13, \ 10^2 \cdot 2 \equiv 5 \mod 13, \ 10^3 \cdot 2 \equiv 11 \mod 13$ 

### Cyclic Shifts for Denominator 13: 2 Cyclic Shifts

The powers  $10^k \mod 13$  are  $1, 10, 9, 12, 3, 4, 1, 10, 9, \ldots$ 

Fraction	Decimal	Fraction	Decimal
1/13	. <mark>0</mark> 76923	2/13	. <mark>1</mark> 53846
10/13	.76923 <mark>0</mark>	7/13	. <u>53846</u> 1
9/13	. <del>6923<b>0</b>7</del>	<mark>5</mark> /13	.3846 <mark>1</mark> 5
12/13	. <u>923076</u>	<u>11</u> /13	. <del>846<b>1</b>53</del>
3/13	.23 <mark>0</mark> 769	<mark>6</mark> /13	. <mark>461</mark> 538
4/13	. <mark>30</mark> 7692	<mark>8</mark> /13	. <mark>61</mark> 5384

We missed 2, and  $10^k \cdot 2 \mod 13$  cycles the rest: 2, 7, 5, 11, 6, 8.

Example  $10 \cdot 2 = 20 \equiv 7 \mod 13, \ 10^2 \cdot 2 \equiv 5 \mod 13, \ 10^3 \cdot 2 \equiv 11 \mod 13$ 

#### Theorem

If n not div. by 2 or 5,  $\frac{a}{n}$  and  $\frac{b}{n}$  have same decimal cycle if and only if  $a \equiv 10^k b \mod n$ .

## Cyclic Shifts and decimal cycles

#### Theorem

If n not div. by 2 or 5,  $\frac{a}{n}$  and  $\frac{b}{n}$  have same decimal cycle if and only if  $a \equiv 10^k b \mod n$ .

Why is this true? Think about each direction of implication separately.

## Cyclic Shifts and decimal cycles

#### Theorem

If n not div. by 2 or 5,  $\frac{a}{n}$  and  $\frac{b}{n}$  have same decimal cycle if and only if  $a \equiv 10^k b \mod n$ .

Why is this true? Think about each direction of implication separately. This will unlock the mystery of Gauss' Theorem:

## Corollary

If n is not divisible by 2 or 5 then

 $L(n) \cdot \#$ cycles = #reduced proper fractions

# Cyclic Shifts and decimal cycles

#### Theorem

If n not div. by 2 or 5,  $\frac{a}{n}$  and  $\frac{b}{n}$  have same decimal cycle if and only if  $a \equiv 10^k b \mod n$ .

Why is this true? Think about each direction of implication separately. This will unlock the mystery of Gauss' Theorem:

## Corollary

If n is not divisible by 2 or 5 then

 $L(n) \cdot \#$ cycles = #reduced proper fractions

To understand this, break up the collection of reduced proper fractions a/n into "orbits" under repeated multiplications by 10. Let's try to conclude using two observations:

- Seach "orbit" is exactly one cycle of a decimal period. (Why?)
- 2 We saw that all cycles have the same length, namely L(n).

For every *n* not divisible by 2 or 5,  $L(n) \le n - 1$ . When does L(n) = n - 1?

For every *n* not divisible by 2 or 5,  $L(n) \le n-1$ . When does L(n) = n - 1? By the *proof* via orbits under multiplication by  $10^k \mod n$  that

$$L(n) \cdot \#$$
cycles =  $\#$ reduced proper fractions  $\leq n - 1$ ,

we see L(n) = n - 1 precisely when  $10^k \mod n$  sweeps out all non-zero remainders modulo n. (Why?)

For every *n* not divisible by 2 or 5,  $L(n) \le n - 1$ . When does L(n) = n - 1? By the *proof* via orbits under multiplication by  $10^k \mod n$  that

$$L(n) \cdot \#$$
cycles =  $\#$ reduced proper fractions  $\leq n - 1$ ,

we see L(n) = n - 1 precisely when  $10^k \mod n$  sweeps out all non-zero remainders modulo n. (Why?) If n < 100, it occurs for

7, 17, 19, 23, 29, 47, 59, 61, 97.

Those specific *n* are prime. Is this a coincidence?

For every *n* not divisible by 2 or 5,  $L(n) \le n - 1$ . When does L(n) = n - 1? By the *proof* via orbits under multiplication by  $10^k \mod n$  that

$$L(n) \cdot \#$$
cycles =  $\#$ reduced proper fractions  $\leq n - 1$ ,

we see L(n) = n - 1 precisely when  $10^k \mod n$  sweeps out all non-zero remainders modulo n. (Why?) If n < 100, it occurs for

7, 17, 19, 23, 29, 47, 59, 61, 97.

Those specific *n* are prime. Is this a coincidence? No. There are n-1 reduced proper fractions with denominator *n* precisely for prime *n* (why?), so:

#### Corollary

If L(n) = n - 1 then n is prime.

For every *n* not divisible by 2 or 5,  $L(n) \le n - 1$ . When does L(n) = n - 1? By the *proof* via orbits under multiplication by  $10^k \mod n$  that

$$L(n) \cdot \#$$
cycles =  $\#$ reduced proper fractions  $\leq n - 1$ ,

we see L(n) = n - 1 precisely when  $10^k \mod n$  sweeps out all non-zero remainders modulo n. (Why?) If n < 100, it occurs for

7, 17, 19, 23, 29, 47, 59, 61, 97.

Those specific *n* are prime. Is this a coincidence? No. There are n-1 reduced proper fractions with denominator *n* precisely for prime *n* (why?), so:

#### Corollary

If L(n) = n - 1 then n is prime.

The converse statement is false at primes 3, 11, 13, 31, 37, 41, ...There is no known "formula" telling us **exactly** when L(p) = p - 1.

For prime  $p \neq 2, 5$ , when does L(p) = p - 1?

## Conjecture

There are infinitely many primes p such that 1/p has "full" decimal period length p - 1 ( $\approx .3739558 \sim 37.4\%$  of all p).

For prime  $p \neq 2, 5$ , when does L(p) = p - 1?

## Conjecture

There are infinitely many primes p such that 1/p has "full" decimal period length p - 1 ( $\approx .3739558 \sim 37.4\%$  of all p).

But why focus only on base 10? Is 10 special in math?

For prime  $p \neq 2, 5$ , when does L(p) = p - 1?

## Conjecture

There are infinitely many primes p such that 1/p has "full" decimal period length p - 1 ( $\approx .3739558 \sim 37.4\%$  of all p).

But why focus only on base 10? Is **10 special in math?** No! There is a more general conjecture, due to Emil Artin:

## Conjecture

For any non-square  $b \ge 2$ , there are infinitely many primes p such that 1/p has base-b "decimal" expansion with period length p - 1.

For prime  $p \neq 2, 5$ , when does L(p) = p - 1?

## Conjecture

There are infinitely many primes p such that 1/p has "full" decimal period length p - 1 ( $\approx .3739558 \sim 37.4\%$  of all p).

But why focus only on base 10? Is **10 special in math?** No! There is a more general conjecture, due to Emil Artin:

### Conjecture

For any non-square  $b \ge 2$ , there are infinitely many primes p such that 1/p has base-b "decimal" expansion with period length p - 1.

For b = 10 this is first conjecture above. The proportion of p in Artin's conjecture is predicted exactly (depending on b).

For prime  $p \neq 2, 5$ , when does L(p) = p - 1?

## Conjecture

There are infinitely many primes p such that 1/p has "full" decimal period length p - 1 ( $\approx .3739558 \sim 37.4\%$  of all p).

But why focus only on base 10? Is **10 special in math?** No! There is a more general conjecture, due to Emil Artin:

### Conjecture

For any non-square  $b \ge 2$ , there are infinitely many primes p such that 1/p has base-b "decimal" expansion with period length p - 1.

For b = 10 this is first conjecture above. The proportion of p in Artin's conjecture is predicted exactly (depending on b).

Gauss' insights will enable us to reformulate this conjecture in a more illuminating manner...

For any non-square  $b \ge 2$ , there are infinitely many primes p such that 1/p has base-b "decimal" expansion with period length p - 1.

By Gauss' Theorem, for b = 10 the condition of period length p - 1 (with  $p \neq 2, 5$ ) is *exactly* the same as there being a single cycle for the periodic parts. Why?

For any non-square  $b \ge 2$ , there are infinitely many primes p such that 1/p has base-b "decimal" expansion with period length p - 1.

By Gauss' Theorem, for b = 10 the condition of period length p - 1 (with  $p \neq 2, 5$ ) is *exactly* the same as there being a single cycle for the periodic parts. Why? It is equivalent that  $10^k \mod p$  sweeps through *all* non-zero remainders mod *p*. Why?

For any non-square  $b \ge 2$ , there are infinitely many primes p such that 1/p has base-b "decimal" expansion with period length p - 1.

By Gauss' Theorem, for b = 10 the condition of period length p - 1 (with  $p \neq 2, 5$ ) is *exactly* the same as there being a single cycle for the periodic parts. Why? It is equivalent that  $10^k \mod p$  sweeps through *all* non-zero remainders mod *p*. Why?

Gauss' reasoning works for general b, so Artin's conjecture says:

## Conjecture

For any non-square  $b \ge 2$ , there are infinitely many primes p such that  $b^k \mod p$  sweeps through all non-zero remainders mod p.

For any non-square  $b \ge 2$ , there are infinitely many primes p such that 1/p has base-b "decimal" expansion with period length p - 1.

By Gauss' Theorem, for b = 10 the condition of period length p - 1 (with  $p \neq 2, 5$ ) is *exactly* the same as there being a single cycle for the periodic parts. Why? It is equivalent that  $10^k \mod p$  sweeps through *all* non-zero remainders mod *p*. Why?

Gauss' reasoning works for general b, so Artin's conjecture says:

# Conjecture

For any non-square  $b \ge 2$ , there are infinitely many primes p such that  $b^k \mod p$  sweeps through all non-zero remainders mod p.

For square *b* one can show  $b^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \mod p$  (due to Fermat), so at most (p-1)/2 possibilities for  $b^k \mod p$ . This is why *b* is a non-square in Artin's conjecture (which also permits any b < -1).

For any non-square  $b \ge 2$ , there are infinitely many primes p such that 1/p has base-b "decimal" expansion with period length p - 1.

By Gauss' Theorem, for b = 10 the condition of period length p - 1 (with  $p \neq 2, 5$ ) is *exactly* the same as there being a single cycle for the periodic parts. Why? It is equivalent that  $10^k \mod p$  sweeps through *all* non-zero remainders mod *p*. Why?

Gauss' reasoning works for general b, so Artin's conjecture says:

# Conjecture

For any non-square  $b \ge 2$ , there are infinitely many primes p such that  $b^k \mod p$  sweeps through all non-zero remainders mod p.

For square *b* one can show  $b^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \mod p$  (due to Fermat), so at most (p-1)/2 possibilities for  $b^k \mod p$ . This is why *b* is a non-square in Artin's conjecture (which also permits any b < -1). The Generalized Riemann Hypothesis implies Artin's conjecture!

- M. Bullynck, *Decimal Periods and their Tables: A Research Topic* (1765–1801), Historia Mathematica **36** (2009), 137–160.
- L. E. Dickson, "History of the Theory of Numbers," Vol. I. Chelsea, 1981. (Chapter VI)
- C. F. Gauss, "Disquisitiones Arithmeticae," Yale Univ. Press, 1966. (Art. 312–318)
- W. LeVeque, "Fundamentals of Number Theory", Dover, 1996. (§3.1–3.3, rests on Chapter 2)
- H. Rademacher and O. Toeplitz, "The Enjoyment of Mathematics," Dover, 1990. (Chapter 23)